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Introduction

Steel roof framing construction becomes exponentially complex as slopes continue to increase beyond $\frac{1}{4}''$ per foot. Mountain projects almost always fall into this type of construction. Non-repetitive framing, vaulted framing, connections and deck support must be clearly defined in the documents for the construction team since it is almost always atypical. Often the General Contractor, Detailer, Fabricator and Erector are faced with incomplete Design Documents and left to guessing about the design intent. This paper addresses important considerations for inclusion in the Design Documents for complex sloped steel roof construction.

This paper has been assembled in a question and answer format by members of the committee who are daily in the trenches.
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2. Defining Framing Conditions with Sections and Details – Bryan Starr/ Rob Leberer
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4. Open Web Steel Joists – Dave Henley
5. Steel Connections – David Weaver
6. Snow Guards & Tie-offs – Tim Hickisch
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8. Pre-Detailing Meetings – Bill Zimmerman, Brent Ross/ John Quinn
Topics

1. Dimensioning of Design Drawings

A. What are work points and where should they be established on a complex sloping roof?
   1) A work point can be defined as a reference point on the Design Drawings. Work points are commonly the intersections of the centerlines of beams, girders, columns, and braces. Work points shown in plan must have a corresponding elevation.
   2) Think of a sloped roof as a series of geometric deck planes sitting atop the steel structure. Each endpoint of the polygonal deck plane will require a horizontal location in plan, along with an elevation to that point. If we let the polygonal deck plane represent the bottom of deck (B.O.D.), we have established the work points required to lay out the supporting steel frame. See Figure 1.1 – Deck Plane Plan View. It is much easier to work with B.O.D. than top of steel (T.O.S.) elevations.
   3) On complex sloping roof framing, the work points should be established at the intersections of the framing centerlines in plan and the bottom of the deck planes at ridges, perimeter framing, and transitioning slopes in the roof planes. See Figure 1.2 – Sloped Roof Plan View. Properly established work points will define the limits of the architectural envelope into which the steel framing must fit and allow the steel detailer to accurately detail all the individual members of the sloped roof system.

B. Should work points be provided on the Structural Drawings or is it sufficient to provide only the roof slope and B.O.D. for each member?
   1) The Structural Drawings for complex sloping roofs should have clearly identified work points on the plans which will allow the steel detailer to layout the geometric properties of the roof.

C. What issues should be taken into consideration when dimensioning sloped roof framing plans?
   1) It is the responsibility of the design team to provide the dimensions and work point elevations for the roof framing plans on the Design Drawings that have been accurately coordinated with Architectural Drawings.
   2) It is critical that all roof framing members be dimensioned in plan with offsets from grid lines.
   3) Secondary dimensions should also be coordinated and their locations must be accurately shown in the Design Drawings for such items as mechanical penetrations, tie-off davits, roof access hatches, snow fences, etc.

D. What is the critical information that needs to be provided on the Design Drawings regarding B.O.D. elevations, roof slopes, work points, etc. without providing too much information?
   1) There is a greater chance of inadvertent conflicts to arise if too much dimensional information is provided on the Structural Drawings. The provision of critical information in the Design Drawings will greatly increase the likelihood of accurate shop and erection drawings.
   2) Provide either the horizontal position of the starting and ending work points of sloped members along with the B.O.D. elevation at the work points or the horizontal position of the starting work points and the roof slopes. Also, if a dimension along a slope is critical, then it needs to be defined. Too often indicated slopes and elevations are in conflict. This suggested approach will allow the detailer to fill in the blanks between the defined work point transitions. The common use of well defined work points at key roof transitions by the Structural Engineer, Detailer and General Contractor will allow for simplified review and coordination.
E. How should deck bearing (B.O.D.) elevations be defined?
   1) Provide accurate deck bearing (B.O.D.) elevations and details at all high, low and transition points of ridges, valleys and eaves along with plan dimensions. The details must clearly define transition relationships and accurate elevations of supporting framing members such as columns, ridge beams, valley beams, and roof steps. T.O.S. can then be determined by the steel detailer.

F. The roof plan drawing seems cluttered with information and it is extremely difficult to define roof slopes and elevations on 2D Plan drawings. Is there a simple way to display the basic information for the detailer?
   1) Yes; provide a separate, organized plan with only elevations and work points. Do not show member sizes, details, etc., on this plan.

G. Is there a way to help the Steel Detailer visualize roof slopes and accurate locations of framing in complicated areas such as dormers and areas of multiple slope changes?
   1) Yes; provide 3D isometric views of both “big picture” and localized areas to help the Detailer visualize the framing. This level of information is needed to avoid resubmittals and additional charges for undefined work.

H. What if 3D isometric views are only representative and they do not accurately match the Design Drawings?
   1) If the Steel Detailer finds a conflict, he should ask if the Design Drawings or the model governs.

I. What are some key issues to consider for erection of dormers and vaulted framing?
   1) During design, detailing, and fabrication… “think like the erector.”
   2) Pre-assembly consideration input is needed from the Erector including connection details & locations, and erection sequence of framing. Pre-assembly of dormers, A-frames, spires, etc. are preferred by the Erector. See Figure 1.3. 3-D/Isometric diagrams provided on the Design Drawings can help the Erector visualize the construction. Keep in mind ease of accessibility when choosing connection details & locations for these pre-assemblies. For example, simple bearing plate connections from the protruding columns below can be advantageous. See Figure 1.4. Extended shear tab plates, which are often used for sloped roof connections are not desired at each end of an A-frame due to a concern about temporary stability during erection. In this case, beam end plates or column bearing plates are preferred. Also, it is important to keep connection elevations & connection types consistent for ease of erecting the pre-assembly. See Figures 1.4 & 1.5.
   3) Where possible, avoid HSS or channel framing. Their profiles are extremely difficult to traverse during erection and can present difficulty for attachment of temporary safety tie-offs. See Figure 1.6.
   4) Keep the number of framing members for a sloped roof to a minimum. Sloped roofs are labor and cost intensive, so the fewer framing members the better.
   5) Allow for structural tolerances in the details since the structure will not be perfect for trades following the steel erection.

2. Defining Framing Conditions with Sections and Details

Sections and details are typically used as a supplement to the framing plans. They are an effective and necessary way to illustrate construction concepts including bearing conditions, overhangs, deck support plates, bent members, framing relationships, connections, and more. In the case of complex sloped steel roofs, the use of effective details becomes especially important.
A. General Typical Details – When are they appropriate?
1) It is a common practice to include a sheet or two of general typical details in the Structural Design Drawings. Corresponding to sloped steel roofs, these details can be effectively used to illustrate connections, deck attachments and additional material necessary for deck support or framing around openings etc., that are typically required in the construction of the framing system.
2) There can be a tendency for the Structural Engineer to rely too much on typical details and notes in conveying the requirements of the project to the General Contractor. Information included in general typical details should be limited to general information that is common to broad portions of the scope of a project.

B. Specific Details – When are they required?
1) The AISC Code of Standard Practice, (AISC 303-05), states “The Structural Design Drawings shall clearly show the work that is to be performed,” and when discussing particular details, (bracing, stiffeners etc.), it states, “[they] shall be shown in sufficient detail in the Structural Design Drawings so that the quantity, detailing and fabrication requirements for these items can be readily understood.”
2) Specific details should be used to provide supplementary information that cannot be reasonably understood in the plans or typical details. This often includes relationships between elements, or variations such as different roof slopes, deck depths, span directions, skews, overhangs etc., that occur at specific locations. For complicated sloping steel roof framing, the EOR should investigate framing conditions at all locations in determining where specific details are required. This usually involves thinking through, or sketching out, many more details than will ultimately appear on the Structural Design Drawings. This process can also help to uncover conditions that require revisions to member sizes or depths to accommodate connections. If a BIM model is created for the project, it may be used to coordinate conflicts, determine the need for details and develop them.

C. Referencing “Similar” Specific Details – When is it appropriate?
1) The practice of referencing “similar” details at alternate locations that are not identical is not unusual. However, the references often do not clearly identify the corresponding variations or similarities. In addition, there can be a tendency to over-reference the same detail as “similar” at too many locations on the plans, leading to conflicts and ambiguities.
2) If a “similar” detail is referenced, the differences should be clearly indicated. Referencing the same detail at multiple locations, that have multiple variables, should be avoided. There is a limit to the conveyance of accurate information by referencing “similar” details. The Structural Engineer should evaluate the appropriateness of referencing “similar” details at each specific location during the preparation of the Structural Design Drawings.

3. Required Deck Support & Design

A. What should be considered for proper design and detailing of support of roof deck on sloped steel roofs?
1) The roof deck needs to be continuously supported with appropriately spaced supports and along its edges for transfer of gravity and lateral loads to the supporting structure.
2) Often sloped roofs cause snow drifting and/or sliding conditions that increase gravity loads on the deck.
   a. Consider locally decreasing the spacing of deck supports at drifting and/or sliding areas. Alternatively select a stronger deck section if additional supports would increase costs beyond the added cost of heavier deck.
3) Triangular sections of deck near hips and valley construction can often place the roof deck into single or two span conditions when the typical roof deck has been selected by the designer based on two or three span conditions, respectively.
   a. Add intermediate supports to reduce the span length and increase the number of spans. Alternatively, select a stronger deck that can support the loads under the local one or two span condition.

4) Hip, valley and ridge beams should be dropped to prevent their top flanges from interfering with the plane of the roof deck. An additional support element, such as a continuous bent plate, added to the tops of the beams will support the deck and transfer deck forces to the beam. See Figures 3.1 and 3.2 for an example of a continuous bent plate welded along a dropped hip beam.
   a. The dimension that the beams must be adjusted vertically varies with the width of the flange, the slope of the roof and the location of the beam as a hip, valley or ridge.
   b. Load transfer of deck forces at bearing/shear walls can be achieved with a continuous bent plate beneath the deck that is welded to a series of embed plates cast into the top of the wall.
   c. Convergence points of multiple planes of roof decks may require a unique support element to offer a large enough support area for the deck as well as make the deck connections achievable. At hips and valleys, be aware that the deck will be cut in the field. The cut will probably be made perpendicular to the plane of the deck, not beveled. Due to this, make sure there are generous bearing lengths for the deck. Increase beam flange widths if necessary. On sloped roofs with steel bar joists, the beams are dropped even more which requires special detailing to support the deck between joists. The support can be a special bent plate attached to the top of the beam between joists or it could be a continuous bent plate that spans from top of joist to top of joist. Either solution provides continuous support to the angle cut deck edge.
   d. The width of the support should account for field fit up tolerances.

5) AWS limits puddle welding of deck on sloped roofs to a maximum of 15 degrees (approx. 3/12). Mechanical fasteners should be specified. Keep in mind the requirements of this type of connection when selecting the roof deck and the deck support. AWS does allow the Structural Engineer to modify the requirements of the code as long as it is incorporated into the Design Drawings. Some Erectors have used low hydrogen electrodes that harden rather quickly with successful results on steep roofs. Welds may need to be pre-qualified.

6) Often pitched roofs have eaves or roofs that overhang the exterior walls. Structural elements that fit within the depth of the eaves may be required at corners of the roof where two eaves meet. Structural eaves are supported by cantilevered joist/beam ends that support a structural sub-fascia member at the edge of the eave and it in turn cantilevers to the corner of the roof.

7) Roof planes on projects with steep roofs will sometimes carry past one or two levels of floor framing.
   a. Keep in mind the sequencing of the erection of the floor and roof elements. Detailing that facilitates a logical erection sequence may require additional elements.
   b. Detail these areas such that gravity and diaphragm forces are transferred from the roof / floor decks to the supporting elements.

8) Dormer framing that is over framed instead of incorporated into the main roof structure may require secondary elements between the roof joists / beams that can support and transfer gravity and lateral loads from the dormer.
   a. Consider spanning an angle with one of its legs aligned perpendicular to the roof deck (and the other leg parallel) spanning between the roof joists / beams under the intersection of the dormer and main roof deck.

9) Curved dormer framing presents unique design challenges, especially at the valleys.
a. The curved valley at a curved dormer interface with a flat main roof must be considered in providing valley support members.
b. The deck should be oriented parallel to the curved dormer such that the deck is rolled in the weak direction.

10) Ridge vents that require long slots through the roof deck can interrupt diaphragm force transfer at this location.
a. Diaphragm shears may be transferred through minor axis bending of the beam or joist seats and through their connections to the supporting ridge member. The designer needs to make sure that these members have the capacity to transfer the loads in this manner.

11) Often projects with sloped roofs also feature stone veneer that is supported on low roof elements. Roof deck has a limited capacity to directly support the veneer line load.
a. Place HSS or a steel channel within the deck flutes, spanning to the adjacent deck supports, to support a continuous angle at the base of the veneer or run the stone or masonry through the roof plane to steel members while making proper connections of the deck to the support.

12) Wherever possible, frame the roof to allow the roof deck to span perpendicular to the roof slope. This adds to cost effectiveness of roof deck construction and provides safety for the Erector and the trades that follow.

13) There may be times where roof decks of different depth are used on the same project. An example would be where an overbuilt area utilizes 1 ½” deck for short spans between light gage trusses or at a curved dormer and the deck for the main roof has longer spans and is framed with a 3” deep deck. Where these decks meet, the tops of the deck may need to align for the roofing. Then the bottom of deck would not be the same. It is generally better to keep the B.O.D. at the same elevation and add insulation on top of the shallower deck in order to even out the roofing surface.

4. Open Web Steel Joists

A. Is it better for the joists to span parallel or perpendicular to the slope?
   1) It is better when the joist framing is parallel to the slope, especially if the slope is steep, greater than 2:12. The forces along the plane of the roof can then be transferred into the joists along their longitudinal axis instead of into their weak axis. Joist manufacturers have the capability to design the joists for vertical loading even though the joist is not horizontal. Use the sloped length of the joist to select the joist type from the load tables.
   2) Steel joists do not have the capacity to resist loads perpendicular to their weak axis. So, if joists are framed perpendicular to the slope:
      a. The Design Drawings would need to show other means to resist gravity forces along the plane of the roof. The deck, added bracing, or other means would be required to resist load components along the roof plane. Standard joist bridging should not be used to resist these loads.
      b. Either the joists should be canted perpendicular to the slope, or a detail needs to be added showing a bent plate added to the joist top chord for deck bearing.
      c. For canted joists, additional and/or heavier bridging may be required to secure the joist out of plumb.
      d. Vertical loads, such as rigging, cannot be applied to the bottom chord since this will twist the bottom chord and the entire joist.
   3) Spanning the joists parallel to the slope allows the deck ribs to be horizontal thus providing a safer work surface for the erecter.

B. Can joist seats be detailed to bear directly on hip beams?
   1) No; provide level bearing surfaces for steel joists using bent plates or provide bearing surfaces that slope parallel with the joists.
C. What is the “roll-over” capacity of a sloped joist bearing?
   1) Roll over capacity of steel joists is significantly affected when a sloped bearing is used, particularly where the bearing depth is increased. It is best to assume there is no “roll over” capacity and to use a bent plate, blocking, or other means to transfer the shear from the deck to the structure.

D. What is the difference between a “Pitched” joist and a “Sloped” joist?
   1) “Pitched” joists are defined as joists with non-parallel chords as opposed to “sloped” joists that have parallel chords and sloped bearings. See Figure 4.1.

E. How do you specify a pitched joist?
   1) Double pitched joist depths are typically specified for roofs with ridges perpendicular to the joist span. The depth is specified at the ridge.
   2) Single pitched joist depths are typically specified using the required depth at mid-span. However, other means may be used to specify the joist profile. Actual or theoretical depths at the ends or grids can sometimes be used to be more specific.
   3) If a standard designation is specified, the uniform load capacity should be determined from the SJI load tables for that standard, whether the joist has parallel chords or a pitched chord configuration.

F. Should standard joist camber be used for sloped roofs?
   1) Steel joists are typically provided with a standard camber. Standard camber should always be used unless a real reason to change it exists, since changing or eliminating the camber in joists from the standard is expensive.
   2) Double pitched joists with chords sloped more than 2” per foot are not typically cambered. Camber in a double pitched joist may result in the ridge elevation being higher than expected.
   3) If elevations are critical at the ridge, allow for, or change the camber.
   4) A mixture of non-cambered roof beams with long cambered joists will create deck erection difficulties.
   5) Cambering primary members such as joist girders in combination with steeply sloped joists bearing on them will create joist length problems. Joist lengths are not normally determined accounting for the camber of the supporting member.
   6) Deflections of primary members at the high end of joists in steep roofs will create horizontal deflections and thrusts that need to be considered.
      a. As the structure is loaded, the exterior walls will deflect outward. This phenomenon must be considered if the wall must be plumb.

G. What are the bearing depth considerations for sloped joists?
   1) Use Figure 4.2 or equations in the joist catalog for sloped joist bearings.
   2) Bearing depths must be kept consistent. Increase adjacent shallower bearing depths to match bearings with deeper requirements.
   3) At the high end of the joist:
      a. The top chord must clear the inside edge of the masonry wall or beam flange edges.
      b. The bearing depth must allow clearance for the intersection of the web and top chord centroids over the bearing surface.
   4) At the joist low end:
      a. An extension must also clear the far edge of the beam flange or wall. For normal loading, a beginning rule of thumb is that the extension depth will need to be about the same depth in inches as the extension is long in feet, starting with the required minimum depth.
      b. In addition to the vertical clearance needed, adequate vertical depth must be provided for the fabrication of the joist sloped bearing under the top chord or extension.
5. **Steel Connections**

Forethought and a little value engineering up front can reduce the overall project cost and shorten the schedule. For example, while the use of the lightest beams as required by design will save money in material, the resulting connections will most likely exceed the material savings. See Figure 5.1 for an example where ignoring the following items resulted in expensive connections. Figure 5.2 shows a preferred alternative and less costly compared to figure 5.1.

**A. What considerations for connections should be made when sizing members?**

1) Flange widths should be considered when sizing supporting members. Wide supporting members result in large copes in the sloping supported members. Large copes decrease the strength of the sloping member and often require web doubler or web extension plates.

2) The choice of a shallow size for a sloping member will increase the complexity of its connection. Generally sloping members should not be shallower than a W12.
   a. Coped W8 and W10 sections often do not have sufficient strength for the required connections. They often fail bending/buckling limit states.
   b. W8 and W10 sections often require web extensions in order to meet minimum bolt requirements. This is especially true when the slope of the beam decreases its effective depth at the connection.

3) It is often more cost effective to choose a heavier beam with a thicker web than to use the lightest beam possible. This is because copes on sloped beams often require the removal of both flanges or significant portions of the web at its connections. If the web is not thick enough, then web doubler plates are required.

**B. How should roof connection requirements be specified in the Design Drawings?**

1) Simply requiring roof connection capacities to be 50% or 60% of UDL is inappropriate and may require excessive capacity that is not attainable or practical. The members normally affected are often short, thus requiring the connection capacity to be substantially higher than necessary. This requirement often results in unnecessary and costly web doubler or web extension plates and extra bolts. This problem can be avoided by either showing connection details in the Design Drawings or showing the required end reaction loads.

**C. While designing connections what considerations should be made for access.**

1) Shop weld access is often limited when multiple connections share a common work point. This can be alleviated by building out the connection by placing a cover plate, HSS or WT near the flange edges of the supporting beam.

2) Field bolt access is often limited in skewed connections, particularly where multiple connections share a common work point. One solution is to push the line of bolts further out from the supporting member, however, large eccentricities will result. Another solution is to build out the connection by placing a cover plate, HSS, or WT near the flanges of the supporting beam.

**D. What are the considerations when designing moment connections for cantilevered members?**

1) Weld access, thickness of material being welded to, backer bars, and field conditions should all be taken into account when designing moment connections at cantilevers.

6. **Snow Guards & Tie-offs**

**A. Who designs the snow guards on a building?**

1) If the snow guards are not pre-manufactured, where they are attached to the roof shingles or to the ribs of the metal roof, then the Engineer of Record should design and provide details for the snow guards. Some pre-manufactured snow guards may require structural support below their attachment to the roof.
B. What design loads should be used to size and detail snow guards?
   1) What is written on this issue is not very specific. ASCE 7-05, “Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures”, has only one paragraph on this subject:

   Snow guards are needed on some roofs to prevent roof damage and eliminate hazards associated with sliding snow (Ref. C7-60). When Snow guards are added to a sloping roof, snow loads on the roof can be expected to increase. Thus, it may be necessary to strengthen a roof before adding snow guards. When designing a roof that will likely need snow guards in the future, it may be appropriated to use the “all other surfaces” curves in Fig. 7.2, not the “unobstructed surfaces” curves.

C. Structural design assumptions for the design and support of snow guards vary widely. Reasonable assumptions would be:
   1) Assume zero friction between the roof and the snow.
   2) Do not reduce snow loads for the roof slope.
   3) Use the larger unbalanced snow loads indicated in ASCE 7-05.
   4) Single guards near the eaves shall be designed for the trapezoidal volume of snow above it and the ends of the guard should be sized for a larger proportion of snow than the rest. See Figure 6.1.

D. Where should snow guards be placed?
   1) Typically they should be placed no less than 12” upslope from the inside face of wall below to mitigate ice damming. See Figure 6.1.

E. The Architect says that some areas of the roof will require snow guards but they are not designed yet. Can I just add some notes to the structural drawings to cover pricing for these guards?
   1) Snow guard construction and complexity can vary significantly. Primitive ones in the Alps just use a log tied to the roof. See Figure 6.2, photo 1. Pad-style snow guards are individual guards typically attached to the roofing material, either mechanically to the shingles or with an adhesive to the metal roofing. They are installed in a grid pattern to create a large area where snow is supported. See Figure 6.2, photo 2. Lastly, there are pipe-style snow guards that are secured to the roof to create a fence barrier. This last one typically performs the best. Choices are either pre-manufactured or custom designs. See Figure 6.2, photo 3 for a custom design.

   While the log design is not typically used in Colorado, the pad type can be included in the roofing specification. Pipe style guards usually require secondary structural members for support. Since custom designs will have a significant impact on the project cost and coordination of additional structural member supports, it is important that the Architect clarify the type of snow guards desired early in the design phase.

F. Do you have to consider longitudinal thermal effects of pipe-style snow guards?
   1) Yes, long horizontal sections of exposed pipe will undergo thermal expansion and contraction which could result in damage to the roof attachment. With this scenario, positive slip joints must be provided. Pre-manufactured pipe styles typically include details to allow for this movement.

G. Will snow guards always support all the snow above them?
   1) No; snow can accumulate and drift to a point that requires the snow to be removed.

H. Who designs the tie-offs for fall protection that is used during steel and deck erection?
   1) The Erector is responsible for the design.
   2) An important consideration would be to have a permanent safety system designed, detailed and utilized during the erection of the primary roof framing during construction, for use by following trades and the Owner for maintenance.
I. Who designs the tie-offs for permanent fall protection used for window washing and building maintenance?
   1) The Engineer of Record would normally design for these tie-off points, if it is specifically included in his scope of work.

J. What load case and/or load factors are to be used for the individual tie-off design loads required by OSHA?

7. Specification & Design Drawing Conflicts

Under pressure to meet accelerated schedules, it is often difficult for the Engineer of Record to catch unintended discrepancies between the Design Drawings and the Specifications. Best results are achieved when the Specifications are edited to clearly state what governs in the case of conflicts. If the design team’s specific intent is not clear, the following COSP guidelines are suggested although one should always refer to the specifications first to see if they dictate what takes precedence:

A. Should the Structural Drawing or Architectural Drawing dimensions be used if they are in disagreement?
   1) The Structural Drawings should be used.

B. What information do I use if the Design Drawings conflict with the Specifications?
   1) The Design Drawings should be used.

C. Is it more accurate to use the figures written on the Design Drawings or the scale dimensions if they are inconsistent?
   1) The figures on the drawings should be used.

   The American Institute of Steel Construction (AISC) Code of Standard Practice provides guidelines that are generally followed in the industry.

   Section 3.3 outlines how to handle such conditions as shown above.

   The Steel Fabricator, while not responsible to find the discrepancy, is required to report it so it may be addressed. This is generally done through the RFI and shop drawing approval process.

D. What do I follow if the Engineer of Record has specified something in the Contract Documents contrary to the AISC Code of Standard Practice?
   1) Follow the directions of the Engineer of Record.
      a. The engineer will often refer to the AISC COSP; however he is not required to follow it. He may clarify or change these guidelines as necessary for the specific project being designed.

E. How can I resolve an inconsistency between the structural General Notes if they differ from the Project Specifications?
   1) The structural General Notes should be used.
      a. General Notes often include information pertaining to foundations, concrete, steel and masonry. As part of the Structural Drawings, the General Notes take precedence over the Specifications unless the Specifications state otherwise. This is similar to the Design Drawing versus Specifications question above.

F. Why are contradictory finishes such as primer, galvanizing and fireproofing shown in different places throughout the drawings and specifications?
   1) The Structural Engineer is generally focused on the primary structure and therefore, finishes may not be a priority or be their responsibility. The best information
regarding finishes is generally found in the Architectural Drawings and project Specifications under Division 9.

2) Different phases of the project may require vastly different finishes. Watch for Architecturally Exposed Structural Steel (AESS) that requires a higher level of surface preparation and quality paint compared to simple columns & beams that are not exposed to view.

3) Clarification of the desired finish should take place as early as possible in the construction process in order to minimize costs and unnecessary disputes. In a “top to bottom” order of preference when this should occur:
   a. Prior to bid
   b. During a “pre-detailing meeting” (See Topic 8 following)
   c. Through RFI’s before the shop drawing submittal
   d. As a clouded note for confirmation on the shop drawings

   Structural General Notes are found in the Structural Drawings and describe project specific requirements.

   Project Specifications are organized by Construction Specifications Institute (CSI) Divisions 1–16 under the 1995 format. This includes Division 1: General Conditions, Division 5: Steel and Division 9: Finishes

   AISC Standard Code of Practice is the primary reference document providing standards and guidelines for the steel and construction industry. It is available at www.aisc.org

4) The sample checklist below may be helpful for design professionals to standardize the location of various specifications. A few minutes of planning and review will eliminate time consuming questions and help the entire team complete the project on schedule.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POSSIBLE DESIGN CHECKLIST</th>
<th>Structural Drawing</th>
<th>Arch Drawing</th>
<th>General Notes</th>
<th>CSI Div Specs</th>
<th>AISC COSP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Information Needed:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevations</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof slope</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom of deck elevation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connection Details</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connection design by fabricator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISC “Certified Fabricator” required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material &amp; bolt specification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerance (material, fabrication, erection)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer finish, surface preparation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galvanizing finish</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fireproofing finish</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarify drawing/specification discrepancies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. Pre-Detailing Meetings

Understanding the roof framing on this project has been a major challenge. I know it is going to be very difficult to detail, fabricate and erect. And, if there are fit up problems in the field, it will be difficult to determine the cause of the problems because the Design Drawings are so vague and incomplete. There must be some way to help ensure things go well, without a bunch of problems, but what?

If you believe two heads are better than one, and good communications are the cornerstone of successful teamwork, a pre-detailing meeting can help. To best suit the situation, it can be as casually small or as formally broad as needed. By expanding to include the Architect, Contractor and Erector, topics can include contemplated design changes, coordination, architectural concerns, onsite storage, crane placement, sequencing, schedule, safety and more. Inclusion of the inspector allows shop and field inspection plans to be understood and coordinated into the overall effort. Listed below is a suggested agenda for a mid-sized meeting.

A. Who should attend?
1) The Architect, Structural Engineer of Record, General Contractor’s project manager, Detailer, Fabricator, Erector and the Testing Agency. (The list of participants comes from the SEAC/RMSCA Liaison Committee “Pre-detailing Meeting” Paper.)

B. What issues should be discussed?
1) Are ridge, hip, valley and eave sections complete, constructible and thoroughly indicated on the roof framing plan?
2) Are critical eave and ridge elevations, dimensions and roof pitches established and has precedence of which will govern been determined?
3) Have members been sized to accommodate the geometry and configuration of the required pitches?
4) Do connections shown work with the required pitches?
5) “Determine strategy for opening frames and other components requiring coordination with other trades.” *
6) Review changes to contract drawings to address OSHA subpart R requirements.

C. When is the pre-detailing meeting appropriate?
1) When, after thorough review, it is determined that there are too many issues or the complexity of the design requires general concept/approach pre-approval.
2) After all parties have had time to review and become familiar with the project and its typical details.
3) Prior to the first structural submittal.

D. How should progress or results be documented?
1) Progress prints are one way to incorporate and distribute pre-detailing input and solutions.
2) “Create an action list for all unresolved communication. Assign accountability for each item. Assign an administrator for the action list.” *

* Quote from the SEAC/RMSCA Liaison Committee “Pre-detailing Meeting” paper.

Additional information on this topic can be obtained from this “Pre-detailing Meeting” paper, which can be downloaded from the RMSCA website, www.rmsca.org.

Conclusions

Complex sloping steel roof construction by its very nature requires much more thought and job specific details than a typical project with a ¼” per foot roof slope. Without the needed project-specific information the General Contractor, Steel Detailer, Fabricator and Erector are faced with guessing about the requirements. Guessing often leads to field coordination problems and additional costs. The EOR is encouraged to provide the suggested information in this paper and to be consistent with the AISC COSP.
FIGURE 1.1
In this sample sloped roof plan there are 5 polygonal deck planes. Each deck plane will require work points.

DECK PLANE PLAN VIEW
FIGURE 1.2
The sloped roof work points should be established by providing the bottom of the steel deck elevation (BOD) at the grid intersections where the deck planes transition. If the decking is to extend past the perimeter grid to the edge of soffits or eaves, a plan dimension from the work point to the edge of deck is all that is required.
Figure 1.3
Depicting successful ground assembly & erection of steep sloped spire including completed deck & clock mount framing.
Illustrating simple bearing plate connections and consistent elevations & types of connections including good access that allow for pre-assembly and ease of erection of sloped steel roofs.

**Figure 1.4**

Illustrating simple bearing plate connections and consistent elevations & types of connections including good access that allow for pre-assembly and ease of erection of sloped steel roofs.
Figure 1.5
Depicting simple bearing plate connections and consistent elevations & types of connections including good access that allow for pre-assembly and ease of erection of sloped steel roofs.
Figure 1.6
Portraying extreme difficulty in traversing channel framing on sloped steel roofs.
**FIGURE 3.1**

HIP BEAM FRAMING PLAN

**SECTION A-A**

**ROOF SLOPE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROOF SLOPE</th>
<th>HIP DROP, D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BF=4</td>
<td>BF=5 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:12</td>
<td>3/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:12</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:12</td>
<td>5/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:12</td>
<td>11/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:12</td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:12</td>
<td>7/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:12</td>
<td>15/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:12</td>
<td>11/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:12</td>
<td>13/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:12</td>
<td>11/4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HIP BEAM ISOMETRIC**

FOR DETAILING PURPOSES ONLY
Figure 4.1

Sloped

Pitched

Double Pitched

Single Pitched
### Figure 4.2

#### K Series Open Web Steel Joists

**Sloped Seat Requirements for Slopes 3/8:12 and Greater**

**LOW END**

**NO TCX**

- **BASE LENGTH**
- **SLOPE 12°**
- **MIN. 3 1/2°**
- **6° STD.**

**WITH TCX**

- **BASE LENGTH**
- **SLOPE 12°**
- **3 1/2°**
- **2 1/2°**
- **6° STD.**

**HIGH END**

**NO TCX**

- **BASE LENGTH**
- **SLOPE 12°**
- **SEE CHART d**
- **6° STD.**

**WITH TCX**

- **BASE LENGTH**
- **SLOPE 12°**
- **SEE CHART d**
- **6° STD.**

*Increase bearing depth when required to provide clearance.*

#### LH & DLH Series Open Web Steel Joists

**Sloped Seat Requirements**

**LOW END**

- **BASE LENGTH**
- **SLOPE 12°**
- **MIN. 6°**
- **6° STD.**

**HIGH END**

- **BASE LENGTH**
- **SLOPE 12°**
- **SEE CHART d**
- **6° STD.**

**SLOPE RATE**

- **3/8:12**
- **1/2:12**
- **1:12**
- **1 1/2:12**
- **2:12**
- **3:12**
- **4:12**
- **5:12**
- **6:12**

**HIGH END MINIMUM**

- **SEAT DEPTH**
- **6 1/2"**
- **6 1/2"**
- **6 1/2"**
- **6 1/2"**
- **7"**
- **7 1/2"**
- **7 1/2"**
- **8 1/2"**
- **9 1/2"**

*7 1/2" at 18 and 19 chord section numbers. Consult Vulcraft for information when TCX’s are present.*

**NOTES:**

1. Depths shown are the minimums required for fabrication of sloped bearing seats.
2. \( d = \frac{5.8 + 5}{\cos \theta} + 6 \tan \theta \)
3. Clearance must be checked at outer edge of support as shown in detail B. Increase bearing depth as required to permit passage of 5’ deep extension.
4. If extension depth greater than 5’ is required (see detail B and D) increase bearing depths accordingly.
Figure 5.1
Figure 5.2
Figure 6.1

Trapezoidal Snow Load on Single Guard

Snow Guard Placed Away From Eave
log snow guard in Switzerland

pad style snow guards

custom pipe style snow guard